Page 1

341 CREDITORS MEETING

MAY 11, 2009

Page 2 1 MR. MCELREATH: Good afternoon, Gentleman. My name is George McElreath. I'm the Assistant U.S. Trustee. 2 3 This afternoon is the first meeting of creditors in the 10 Chapter 11 cases filed March 31st jointly administered under 4 the name Idearc, Inc. under Case Number 0931828. 5 6 The purpose of this meeting is -- which is noticed to all the creditors, and that would include 800,000 7 8 people, so we expected something of a crowd which didn't 9 develop apparently. The purpose of the meeting is to allow creditors 10 11 the opportunity to examine representatives of the company under oath regarding the assets and liability in the case and 12 the prospects of reorganization in Chapter 11. 13 For that purpose this afternoon, we have Dee 14 15 Jones, the CFO of the company. Up here at the table with him is Toby Gerber from Fulbright & Jaworksi, the debtor's lead 16 17 counsel. Shortly after the filing of the case, U.S. 18 Trustee commenced efforts to form an official committee of 19 unsecured creditors. We were successful in that effort. 20 And the committee has now retained the law firms of Milbank, Tweed 21 22 and Haynes and Boone as their counsel. And Mr. Monsure was here a minute ago and is 23 24 still here representing the committee. The format that I 25 observe at these meetings is that I'll call on Mr. Gerber to

give you an opening statement about the case and then I'll
 swear Mr. Jones in and open the meeting to questions from
 creditors, so Mr. Gerber.

MR. GERBER: Good afternoon. Thank you, Mr. McElreath. My name is Toby Gerber. I'm a partner in the law firm of Fulbright & Jaworski, L.L.P. Fulbright is counsel to each of the 10 entities that Mr. McElreath referred to, each of which filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code on March 31st, 2009.

10 These are each separate individual corporate 11 entities; but pursuant to order of the Bankruptcy Court, the 12 cases are being jointly administered. The judge in this 13 matter is Chief Judge Barbara Houser of the Northern District 14 of Texas.

15 In addition to the information we'll be 16 providing you here today, I want to refer you to the following 17 website. It's www.KCCLLC.net backslash Idearc. And this 18 website is entirely devoted to providing information to 19 creditors and other constituencies interested in these 20 bankruptcy cases and should be an excellent source of 21 information for you.

Today you'll be hearing information about the company's assets and liabilities. Each of those documents is readily accessible through the court's website which you can also find indirectly through the case you see on the website I

> HG LITIGATION SERVICES HGLITIGATION.COM

Page 3

Page 4

just gave you. In addition on the website, you'll find more
 information about the official committee of unsecured
 creditors that Mr. McElreath referred to.

The committee was appointed by the office of the United States Trustee. They've asked the Court to approve the retention of not only the lawyers Milton and Tweed and Haynes and Boone, but also financial advisers Miller, Buckfire.

8 Those entities upon approval of the Bankruptcy 9 Court will be paid by the bankruptcy estate. And you may wish 10 to seek information from the committee or its lawyers or 11 financial advisers as well.

Under Chapter 11, each of the companies has continued to operate its business in the ordinary course, but obviously subject to the statutes and the rules which govern bankruptcy estates. Our goal is to propose a confirmation of a plan of reorganization for all of the entities.

17 The Bankruptcy Code mandates that the U.S. Trustee convene this meeting and examine a representative of 18 the company about certain matters relating to the condition of 19 the companies as reflected in the schedules and statement of 20 financial affairs and about the reasons for the bankruptcy 21 22 filings and other matters that you may be interested in. 23 You will also be given an opportunity to 24 question the company representative, Mr. Jones. The purpose 25 of this meeting is not to examine the companies about specific

Page 5 claims or litigation. So to the extent that questioning gets 1 2 into matters which are outside the purpose of the meeting, I 3 may have to object to the questioning or not permit the company representative to answer. 4 I'm not expecting to do so, but I want to advise 5 6 you of that possibility. I'm not to trying to obstruct the flow of information or any disclosure. I do this because 7 8 there are other forms for that information to be obtained. And in the case of questions which fall within 9 that category, I'll be glad to speak with you afterwards and 10 11 assure you that you will get all the information to which 12 you're entitled. With that, I thank you. Mr. McElreath, back 13 to you. MR. MCELREATH: Raise your right hand. 14 15 DEE JONES, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 17 MR. MCELREATH: Mr. Jones, you appear to be the signatory on the schedules and statements of affairs that were 18 filed in these cases. Do you, by your signature, certify that 19 all the information contained therein is true and correct to 20 the best of your knowledge? 21 22 MR. JONES: Yes, I do. 23 MR. MCELREATH: At this point, I'll open the 24 meeting to questions from creditors. If you have a question, 25 there is a recording and the court reporter is here, so if you

Page 6 1 could identify yourself and who you represent for the record. If you do have questions on the front row. 2 3 MR. GERBER: If you shout out, we may be able to hear you. 4 5 MR. MANNS: It's more important that the court 6 reporter hear you. 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. KALOOKY: Good afternoon, my name is Even Kalooky. 9 Ο. I'm from the McMillan Law Firm. I represent the McMillan Law Firm and 10 11 individual plaintiff Sean Ryan, both creditors of Idearc, Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated. I could sit down. 12 THE REPORTER: Would you mind using the 13 microphone, please. 14 BY MR. KALOOKY: 15 Mr. Jones, are you aware of the claims of Sean Ryan 16 Ο. 17 or the McMillan Law Firm in this bankruptcy? I'm not aware of the specific details about any of 18 Α. those claims. 19 Okay. Are you aware of the lawsuit that occurred 20 Ο. between Sean Ryan against Idearc Media Sales West, 21 22 Incorporated? 23 I don't recall any specifics around that particular Α. 24 suit. 25 Okay. When you verified the bankruptcy schedules Q.

Page 7 under oath, what exactly did you do to confirm that 1 information? What sources did you go to? 2 3 Well, amongst other things, I went through Α. discussions and reviews with all of my folks. We went through 4 all of our accounts payable and activities. We identified our 5 6 client list, our vendor list and then those -- the company records. 7 8 Q. Okay. Was one of your folks Lori Finkleston? Lori Finkleston is -- Lori Finkleston is a legal 9 Α. 10 counsel in our company. 11 Okay. Did you speak with her in forming --Ο. I did not speak --12 Α. MR. GERBER: Excuse me. What was the question? 13 (BY MR. KALOOKY) Did you speak Ms. Finkleston, I 14 Ο. 15 guess the assistant general counsel of the Dallas office, before verifying the bankruptcy schedules? Not the content of 16 17 those discussions, just was that one person -- you said you spoke with your folks? 18 MR. GERBER: Well, I'm gonna instruct him not to 19 answer in order to avoid any revelation of attorney/client 20 21 information. Is there is a question in your list that we 22 might be able to answer that's just factually related against 23 your claim? 24 MR. KALOOKY: That is a fact. We can discuss 25 whether that objection stands.

	Page 8
1	Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. Mr. Dee Jones, did you speak
2	with Theresa Murray before verifying the schedules?
3	A. No, not that I recall.
4	Q. Do you know who Theresa Murray is?
5	A. I recognize the name, but I can't place her
6	specifically.
7	Q. Okay. Does it refresh your recollection if she's the
8	person who maintains the corporate records for Idearc Media
9	Sales West, Incorporated?
10	A. It's Idearc Media
11	Q. Idearc.
12	A West. And, yes, I think she works for our general
13	counsel.
14	Q. Okay. And you did discuss with her before signing
15	the bankruptcy schedules?
16	A. No, I did not recall speaking specifically with her.
17	Q. Okay. Is Idearc Idearc Media Sales West,
18	Incorporated the same legal entity as the former Verizon
19	Directory Sales West, Incorporated?
20	MR. GERBER: Calls for a legal conclusion. Do
21	you have a factual question that you're driving at here? Is
22	there some purpose relating to the assets and liabilities that
23	we can talk about rather than talking about your specific
24	claim?
25	MR. KALOOKY: Yes. This is about bankruptcy

Page 9 schedules being accurate as you're aware. I mean, we're not 1 listed in the top 50 unsecured creditors, but the claim is 2 3 175,000. MR. GERBER: So is it your position that the 4 schedules are --5 6 MR. KALOOKY: False. 7 MR. GERBER: -- not accurate because your client 8 is not listed? MR. KALOOKY: And the law firm, yes. 9 MR. GERBER: Okay. Well, we'll stipulate the 10 schedules state what they say. If you could not find your 11 claimant there, we'll stipulate that it's not in there. 12 13 MR. KALOOKY: Okay. MR. GERBER: And beyond that, we're not going to 14 15 stipulate whether or not they're accurate or inaccurate. 16 MR. KALOOKY: Okay. 17 MR. GERBER: This is not the place to establish whether or not your claim has any validity or should have been 18 listed. 19 20 MR. KALOOKY: It's a judgment. It's not a 21 claim. 22 MR. GERBER: It's a what? I'm sorry. 23 (BY MR. KALOOKY) Mr. Jones --Q. 24 MR. KALOOKY: It's a judgment against Idearc 25 Media Sales West.

	Page 10
1	MR. GERBER: Okay.
2	Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Mr. Jones, you verified the top 50
3	list of creditors unsecured creditors under oath, correct?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q. Okay. And what steps did you take to make sure that
6	information was accurate?
7	MR. GERBER: Again, are you stating that because
8	of the size of the claim you're asserting it should have been
9	listed in the 50?
10	MR. KALOOKY: What I'm asking is what
11	happened what information was reviewed before coming up
12	with the schedules that are signed under oath. That's a valid
13	question.
14	MR. GERBER: But the purpose of this meeting is
15	to determine the financial condition of the company. Okay.
16	Not to determine the accuracy or conclusion of a particular
17	claim.
18	If you're saying that your claim should have
19	been included in the top 50 but was not, okay, we understand
20	and we accept your proposition that you're making; not
21	agreeing to it, but accepting your proposition that if you've
22	got a claim for 172,000, we might need to amend our list of
23	top 50.
24	MR. KALOOKY: Is that an objection and you're
25	asking him not to answer what steps were taken?

Page 11 1 MR. GERBER: I'm not gonna continue to let him 2 to continue to answer a line of questions that's not 3 appropriate in this forum as I said earlier. MR. KALOOKY: I respectfully disagree that it is 4 appropriate exactly what steps occurred before signing these 5 6 schedules. That's what we're here about, the accuracy and whether the information what was resorted to in coming to 7 8 those schedules. And you're refusing to allow your client to 9 10 answer? MR. GERBER: Well, you haven't asked that 11 question. 12 (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. Mr. Jones, exactly what steps 13 Q. did you take before certifying the top 50 list of unsecured 14 15 creditors? I reviewed the documentation. I reviewed the 16 Α. 17 schedules themselves. I had conversation with my staff. Ι had conversation with legal counsel in assessing that and my 18 own personal knowledge of the books and records that we do 19 business. 20 Okay. And without getting into any discussions with 21 Ο. 22 your counsel, can you identify the individuals that you 23 discussed before signing these schedules? MR. GERBER: He's already answered the question. 24 25 We're not gonna go on a person-by-person basis.

Page 12 (BY MR. KALOOKY) How many people? Can you guess a 1 Ο. number? 2 3 MR. GERBER: Pardon me? Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) How many individuals did you consult 4 with, Mr. Jones? 5 6 Α. My staff is nine folks. Legal staff is probably -there's probably five or six folks in that organization. 7 8 Underneath my folks, I have an accounts payable group that I probably talked to three or four folks in. You know, 25 or 9 10 more people. 11 Okay. And if I wanted to get the names of those Ο. individuals, how would I go about that? Who would know that? 12 MR. GERBER: You can talk to me after the 13 14 meeting. 15 MR. KALOOKY: Well, under oath. MR. GERBER: You can talk to me after the 16 17 meeting and I'll make arrangements. Okay. For a 2004 hearing? 18 MR. KALOOKY: MR. GERBER: If you want to request a 2004 19 hearing, which is probably the appropriate form for your line 20 of questioning, please do so. And then we'll -- once I look 21 22 at your application, I'll decide whether or not we oppose it 23 or not. 24 (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. Mr. Jones, you said that you Ο. 25 reviewed documentation before signing off on the bankruptcy

1

2

Page 13

schedules and the list of top 50 unsecured creditors. What specific documents?

A. We run reports out of our accounts payable system. We had a list, a list of folders of our various credit facilities that we had. Those sorts of books and records of the company.

Q. Okay. And did you review any litigation that was
pending or had been completed with outstanding judgments? Let
me break that down, sorry. Did you review any pending
litigation in terms of listing those unsecured creditors?

11 A. I had conversation with my counsel about the12 litigation aspects of those.

Q. Okay. Now, when -- how exactly did Verizon Directory Sales West, Incorporated turn into Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated?

MR. GERBER: That's all a matter of public record. If you look at our 10K, there's accurate descriptions over the years of the corporate entities. And we're not gonna get into that today.

20 Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Mr. Jones, was it simply a name 21 change between the two entities?

22 MR. GERBER: That totally calls for a legal 23 conclusion. I'm gonna instruct him not to answer.

24 Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. Now, Mr. Jones, are you aware 25 that bulk sale notices under Article 6 of the UCC were filed

Page 14 1 from the transition of Verizon Directory Sales West, Incorporated to Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated? 2 MR. GERBER: Article 6 of whose -- what? 3 MR. KALOOKY: The UCC bulk sale notices. 4 MR. GERBER: Bulk sale notices? 5 6 MR. KALOOKY: Correct. What version of the Texas Business 7 MR. GERBER: and Commerce Code are you looking at to where there's a bulk 8 sales notice requirement? 9 MR. KALOOKY: Were they filed? It's a simple 10 11 question. The answer calls for a legal 12 MR. GERBER: No. conclusion. You asked whether it was under a particular 13 statute, and he's not gonna answer. 14 15 Ο. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Mr. Jones, do you have any knowledge as to whether bulk sale notices were filed for that specific 16 17 transaction, the Verizon Directory Sales West, Incorporated to Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated? 18 I do not know. 19 Α. Okay. Who would know that information? 20 Ο. 21 My legal counsel. Α. 22 Ο. Okay. And that's Mr. Gerber to your right? 23 Mr. Gerber is my outside legal counsel. Inside legal Α. 24 counsel is Cody Wilbanks. And he would have to research the 25 question in order to determine that.

	Page 15
1	Q. Thank you. Now, is are you aware of an unsecured
2	creditor of O'Melveny, Myers, a law firm?
3	A. I'm not aware of the specifics of it.
4	Q. Okay. It was not listed on the top 50 unsecured
5	creditors that I have. Are you aware that O'Melveny, Myers
6	incurred or Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated incurred
7	legal defense in the action that I am here to represent, the
8	Ryan versus Idearc Media Sales?
9	A. No, I'm not aware of that.
10	Q. Okay. How would I obtain the information as to the
11	outstanding creditor, that outstanding creditor?
12	MR. GERBER: You could look at our schedules and
13	statements of financial affairs.
14	Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. Are you aware that litigation
15	is still pending on appeal?
16	MR. GERBER: What litigation?
17	MR. KALOOKY: The Sean Ryan versus Idearc Media
18	Sales West, Incorporated.
19	MR. JONES: I am not aware of the specifics of
20	that litigation.
21	Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. Are you aware of it by name?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Okay. I'd like to provide a document to the witness.
24	May I approach? Unfortunately I only have one copy so.
25	Mr. Jones, did you read Paragraph 3?

Page 16 MR. GERBER: We're not going to read any 1 2 Do you have an authentication for this? documents. 3 MR. KALOOKY: It's signed. MR. GERBER: Do you have an authentication? 4 How do I know this was signed by the person that it purports to 5 6 be? Is this a certified copy? MR. KALOOKY: It's a certification signed by 7 8 Theresa Murray. MR. GERBER: Where is the certification? 9 I'm 10 just --MR. KALOOKY: You're refusing to answer 11 questions about it? 12 MR. GERBER: You haven't -- you haven't asked 13 the question. 14 15 MR. KALOOKY: That's -- Ms. Theresa Murray signed this under oath. 16 17 MR. GERBER: Are you representing that she did? Do you from your personal knowledge know that she signed it? 18 MR. KALOOKY: I've got the document in front of 19 20 you. 21 MR. GERBER: You know from your personal 22 knowledge that she signed this? 23 MR. KALOOKY: Sure. It's under oath. 24 MR. GERBER: Well, do you know from your 25 personal knowledge that she signed this?

	Page 17
1	MR. KALOOKY: Did I see her sign it?
2	MR. GERBER: Yeah.
3	MR. KALOOKY: No, I didn't personally see her
4	sign it.
5	MR. GERBER: Do you have any personal knowledge
6	that she did sign it?
7	MR. KALOOKY: Yes.
8	MR. GERBER: What's your personal knowledge?
9	MR. KALOOKY: That it's submitted as a
10	representation of Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated. She
11	bound
12	Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Mr. Jones, you just stated earlier
13	you knew Theresa Murray, Theresa B. Murray?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. Does she maintain the corporate records for
16	Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated?
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Okay. Who would know I'm sorry.
19	A. Never mind.
20	Q. Who would have that information as to who maintains
21	the corporate records for Idearc Media Sales West,
22	Incorporated?
23	A. My general counsel, Cody Wilbanks.
24	Q. Okay. And how would we contact Ms. Murray if we
25	needed to?

1

Page 18 You could put a request in. 1 Α. Does she still work for the company? 2 Q. 3 Yes. Α. Okay. And you will not answer whether there was just 4 Q. a name change between the entity Verizon Directory Sales West, 5 6 Incorporated to Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated? 7 MR. GERBER: You've asked that question. I'11 8 instruct and you've been instructed that calls for a legal 9 conclusion. And he's not gonna testify as to that. 10 Ο. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Are you aware of any transfer of 11 assets between those two companies? What does that have to do with the 12 MR. GERBER: 13 status of this company? MR. KALOOKY: A transfer to defraud creditors is 14 15 directly at issue in this bankruptcy. Whether the assumption of assets includes the assumption of liabilities and part of 16 17 these work creditors were not given notice is an issue because as it stands, the McMillan Law Firm is not recognized as a 18 creditor and yet there is a judgment in excess of \$100,000 19 which would be in those top 50 unsecured creditors. 20 MR. GERBER: It sounds like you've got a 21 22 situation that's pending litigation. It's not the appropriate 23 forum to do this. We're not gonna answer any more questions 24 about this. 25 (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. But you are aware of the Ο.

judgment in that action, Mr. Jones? 1 2 MR. GERBER: No. Asked and answered. And he 3 said he wasn't aware of it. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. And you didn't ever see fit 4 Q. to review outstanding judgments before signing these 5 6 bankruptcy schedules? MR. GERBER: He answered the question. 7 Now 8 you're just being argumentative. He answered the question before that he consulted with counsel about that. 9 10 Q. (BY MR. KALOOKY) Okay. And you did sign the schedules after your discussions? 11 MR. GERBER: That's not in dispute that he 12 13 signed the schedules. Do you have any questions that really 14 relate to the 341 meeting? 15 MR. KALOOKY: To the assets and liabilities of the debtor? 16 17 MR. GERBER: No, to the financial condition of the company, the assets and liabilities as in the schedules, 18 not your specific claim. 19 20 MR. KALOOKY: Yes. This is all relevant to 21 that. MR. GERBER: Pardon me? 22 23 MR. KALOOKY: This is all relevant to that 24 directly, sir? 25 MR. GERBER: It's not a question of relevance.

Page 20 It's a question of appropriate forum for the questions. 1 MR. KALOOKY: We did for the person who signed 2 3 the schedules under oath is here answering questions about what happened to come up with that information. But I am done 4 with the questions. Thank you. I appreciate it. 5 6 MR. MCELREATH: Just to put your questioning in 7 context, can you explain to me what Mr. Ryan got a judgment 8 for \$100,000 plus about? MR. KALOOKY: This was an action 9 Okay. Sure. 10 where Mr. Ryan worked as a sales person for Directory 11 Listings. He was never employed by Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated. He was employed by the entity that was 12 purchased or whatever happened that won't be discussed, 13 Verizon Directory Sales West, Incorporated. 14 15 During that action, when Idearc tried to substitute in the defendant, we opposed it because there 16 17 wasn't any information about the transfer of assets or liabilities. We had the declaration of Theresa Murray -- and 18 I can give you a copy -- in which they said there was simply a 19 name change and it's the same entity. 20 21 Now, in the motion to assume executory 22 contracts, there's a completely different story about what 23 happened with the transaction. The case, the underlying 24 claims were for conversion. The company stole a commission 25 that was due to our client and also as a discrimination

Page 21

1 wrongful termination case.

2 It proceeded to a jury trial and judgment. That 3 judgment is attached as an exhibit to the objection of Sean Ryan and McMillan Law Firm to the motion to assume period 4 contracts. The judgment is a final -- it's on appeal. 5 But 6 the judgment for Mr. Ryan is in the nature of \$55,000. The McMillan Law Firm won attorneys' fees in the 7 8 amount of 125,000. The total judgment if you want to combine is about 175. We were not listed on the unsecured creditors 9 list, the top 50, even though the McMillan Law Firm was over 10 100,000 and would have been under that. 11 MR. MCELREATH: I understand your thought --12 MR. KALOOKY: The issue is whether there's a 13 fraudulent debtor -- a fraudulent statement as to what 14 15 happened between these, so whether the debt still is attributable to Verizon or to the debtor in this bankruptcy or 16 17 to both. MR. MCELREATH: So your judgment is on appeal 18 from a state court to what? 19 20 MR. KALOOKY: Yes, the San Diego Superior Court 21 judgment and it's in the Court of Appeals, the California 22 Court of Appeals now. And consequently, Idearc paid its 23 attorneys over a million dollars to defend that case. 24 MR. MCELREATH: That was going to be my next 25 question because you seem to claim O'Melveny and Myers as

Page 22 debtor's counsel in that matter. 1 MR. KALOOKY: Correct. 2 3 MR. MCELREATH: Are you saying they spent over \$1 million to defend a suit that resulted in a judgment for 4 the plaintiff of \$55,000? 5 6 MR. KALOOKY: Unfortunately, that's true, and that they appealed it also so they there was more money. But 7 8 there was an offer to settle for 300,000 that Idearc turned down and they paid three times that amount to the attorneys. 9 But the bottom line, I guess, is just why we're 10 11 not listed on the unsecured creditors and why there are other debtors or judgment debtors of the prior company that aren't 12 being given notice of this bankruptcy because of this transfer 13 of assets. 14 15 And we will pursue that and obviously file an adversary complaint and challenge the bankruptcy as needed. 16 But I just wanted to bring that to the attention and see if 17 the schedules need to be updated. 18 MR. MCELREATH: Do you have a judgment directly 19 against the debtor Idearc Media Sales, or do you have a 20 judgment against the predecessor in interest to that company? 21 22 MR. KALOOKY: The judgment again is against 23 Idearc Media Sales West, Incorporated. Here's a notice of 24 judgment which you can keep because I've got plenty of copies. 25 A big bone of contention in that case and why

Page 23 1 I'm here -- you know, I flew out from San Diego. I don't want to waste anybody's time here. But I'm here because of the 2 3 position of who was the proper defendant and we said it was Verizon Directory Sales. And then there's a statement under 4 oath from Theresa Murray saying that it was a name change 5 6 only. And so the proper defendant was listed, Idearc 7 8 Media Sales West, Incorporated. But it turns out now that the representation might not have been true, and that's what we're 9 trying to investigate so. 10 11 MR. MCELREATH: Toby, do we have a debtor named Idearc Directory Sales West? 12 MR. GERBER: No. 13 MR. KALOOKY: It's Media Sales. I think that 14 15 was a typo on this. Here, it does say here now known as Media West as a result of a name change that occurred on 16 17 October 2006, and the judgment is against Media Sales. MR. GERBER: Mr. McElreath, just so the record's 18 clear, the plaintiff in that lawsuit is listed in Schedule F 19 of our schedules property list. I think we did note the 20 amount of the judgment. 21 22 So it might be blank. It's obviously disputed, 23 but we did list them in the schedule, last book of schedules. 24 MR. MCELREATH: Are there any other questions? 25 EXAMINATION

	Page 24
1	BY MR. WEBER:
2	Q. Yes. Mr. Jones, my name is Nathan Ian Weber. I'm a
3	solvency adviser with the Internal Revenue Service. I just
4	have a couple questions regarding the operations of the
5	companies. Can you tell me which companies have payroll
6	taxes, 941 obligations?
7	A. Actually, I'm gonna have to get that information. I
8	can't off the top of my head. There's several of the entities
9	that do employ folks and each of those would have obligations.
10	Q. Okay. It's my understanding that not every
11	A. That's true.
12	Q company has payroll. That is run through certain
13	companies.
14	A. That's correct, not every individual entity has
15	employees, but there are multiple ones within the grouping
16	that do.
17	Q. Do you have any idea of how many of the I believe
18	it's 10 involved have payroll?
19	A. There's at least three or four of them that do. But
20	I'd have to research it for you.
21	Q. Do you have any knowledge if these entities with
22	payroll tax obligations are current on their post-petition
23	payroll tax deposits?
24	A. Post-petition payroll taxes?
25	Q. Yes.

	Page 25
1	A. I have no knowledge that they're not.
2	Q. Okay. So you would say they're to your knowledge,
3	they are current?
4	A. Yes. To my knowledge, they are current.
5	Q. Who is the person responsible for doing the payroll
6	deposits, running payroll checks and so forth?
7	A. We outsource our payroll processing activity to
8	Ceridian. And then within our organization, that contractural
9	relationship is maintained by our director of comp and
10	benefits, Steve Garberick (phonetic).
11	Q. Pardon me. What was his last name?
12	A. Garberick.
13	Q. Do you have any idea how many employees are in each
14	company that's running a payroll?
15	A. In total, we have over 6,000 employees. I cannot
16	tell you off the top of my head the break down amongst the
17	various legal entities.
18	Q. Do you know if there are any unfiled 941 employment
19	taxes or unmade deposits prior to the bankruptcy on any of
20	these entities?
21	A. To my knowledge, all of that was paid. All of those
22	were paid. I do know there was some disputes because some of
23	them were paid through Verizon with regard to that.
24	But as far as payments being made, it's, to my
25	knowledge, all the payments had been made. Whether or not

	Page 26
1	they were all properly credited with the services, I'm not
2	sure of that as of yet.
3	Q. And if we have any questions regarding employment tax
4	issues, is there a contact person we would use or would you
5	prefer we go through Mr. Gerber?
6	A. You probably at this point should go through
7	Mr. Gerber.
8	MR. GERBER: If you will, please. I'll get you
9	a card afterwards so we can exchange that information.
10	Q. (BY MR. WEBER) Now, Mr. Jones, did you, yourself,
11	ever do you ever review payroll tax returns? Do you sign
12	them?
13	A. No. The signatories on the payroll tax return has
14	not been part of my responsibility.
15	Q. Who would have signature authority as far as
16	reviewing and signing the return and having it sent off?
17	A. That goes through my human resources organization, so
18	Steve Garberick would have knowledge of that.
19	Q. Is it like a centralized payroll to the various
20	entities? Is all the payroll run through a central office?
21	A. Yes. Like I say, it's all run through the all the
22	payroll is run by Ceridian, an outside entity who does payroll
23	services.
24	Q. And this Steve Garberick is your in-house person?
25	A. Yes. He manages the relationship with Ceridian.

	Page 27
1	Q. As far as signature authority for payroll checks, how
2	is that handled?
3	A. I mean, we have a we have a basic signature
4	they have a signature at Ceridian that they use. It's a
5	mechanized process. We don't have someone signing 6,000
6	checks.
7	Q. Right. And that would be Mr. Garberick? Is he the
8	authority on that?
9	A. On the signature of the payroll checks, I'm not sure
10	who is.
11	Q. All right. Thank you. I have nothing else right
12	now.
13	MR. MCELREATH: Anybody else? If there are no
14	further okay. Last chance to ask questions. If there are
15	no further questions, that will conclude this afternoons
16	meeting.
17	(Conclusion of meeting.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 28 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 I, Sandy A. Treft, the undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, certify that the 4 5 foregoing is a correct transcription from the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 6 7 I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, related to, nor employed by any parties to the action in 8 which this proceeding was taken and, further, that I am not a 9 relative or employee of any counsel, employed by the parties 10 hereto or financially interested in the action. 11 12 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under my hand and seal of office of May, 2009. 13 on this the th da Sandy A. Tref 14 15 Sandy A. Treft, CSR Texas CSR 4777 16 Expiration: 12/31/09 Firm No. 69 17 HG LITIGATION SERVICES 2501 Oak Lawn Avenue 18 Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75219 19 1-888-656-DEPO 20 21 22 23 24 25